## IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF NEW ZEALAND

## I TE KŌTI PĪRA O AOTEAROA

CA488/2019 [2019] NZCA 655

BETWEEN A LABOUR INSPECTOR (MINISTRY OF

BUSINESS, INNOVATION AND

EMPLOYMENT)

**Applicant** 

AND GILL PIZZA LIMITED

First Respondent

SANDEEP SINGH Second Respondent

JATINDER SINGH Third Respondent

MANDEEP SINGH Fourth Respondent

MALOTIA LIMITED Fifth Respondent

Court: Brown and Clifford JJ

Counsel: A E Scott-Howman and C R English for Applicant

G G Ballara and S P Radcliffe for Respondents

Judgment: 18 December 2019 at 10 am

(On the papers)

## JUDGMENT OF THE COURT

The application for leave to appeal is granted on the following question of law:

Whether the Employment Court erred in finding that, if a defendant asserts there is no employment relationship, the Labour Inspector must first seek a declaration of employment status from the Employment Court under s 6(5) of

the Employment Relations Act 2000 before commencing or continuing a proceeding under s 228(1) of that Act.

## REASONS OF THE COURT

(Given by Clifford J)

[1] The applicant is granted leave to bring an appeal on the following question of law:

Whether the Employment Court erred in finding that, if a defendant asserts there is no employment relationship, the Labour Inspector must first seek a declaration of employment status from the Employment Court under s 6(5) of the Employment Relations Act 2000 before commencing or continuing a proceeding under s 228(1) of that Act.

[2] Costs on the application are reserved pending determination of the substantive appeal.

Solicitors: Crown Law Office, Wellington for Applicant McBride Davenport James, Wellington for Respondents